

# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/854,622	05/15/2001	Makoto Fujieda	1095.1186	8012
21171 STAAS & HAI	7590 06/01/2007 LSEY LLP		EXAMINER	
SUITE 700			PITARO, RYAN F	
1201 NEW YORK AVENUE, N.W. WASHINGTON, DC 20005			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2174	
	•			
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
	•		06/01/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
		Applicant(s)				
Office Assistant Commencer	09/854,622	FUJIEDA, MAKOTO				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Ryan F. Pitaro	2174				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMU 16(a). In no event, however, may rill apply and will expire SIX (6) No cause the application to become	NICATION.  y a reply be timely filed  MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  BABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status	•					
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>3/13/2007</u> .						
2a) ☐ This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) ☒ This	This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.					
	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims		•				
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1,4-7 and 9-13</u> is/are pending in the a	pplication.					
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)  Claim(s) <u>1,4-7 and 9-13</u> is/are rejected.	6)  Claim(s) <u>1,4-7 and 9-13</u> is/are rejected.					
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	election requirement.					
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.						
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).						
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).						
a) All b) Some * c) None of:						
<ul> <li>1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.</li> <li>2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No</li> </ul>						
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).						
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
	•					
•		·				
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)						
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  Paper No(s)/Mail Date  Notice of Informal Patent Application						
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)  Paper No(s)/Mail Date  5) Notice of Informal Patent Application  6) Other:						

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

#### Response to Amendment

- 1. This communication is responsive to the Amendment filed 3/13/2007.
- 2. Claims 1,10-13 were amended and claim 8 was cancelled.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

3. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claims 1,4-7,9-13 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention. The claim limitation of "copying the assembly data as a new object and assigning thereto the oldest version information of the assembly data" seems to be updating with old information. The Examiner attempted to clarify the newly added claim limitation by contacting the Applicant's representative, however the Examiner was unsuccessful in doing so. After appropriate corrections are made, another non-final office action will be issued.

Application/Control Number: 09/854,622

Art Unit: 2174

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

Page 3

- 4. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 5. Claims 1 and 3-13 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Kellstrom ("Kellstrom", US 6,088,625) in view of Harrison et al ("Harrison", US 6,611,725) in further view of Yotsukura ("Yorsukura" US 6,647,380).

As per independent claim 1, Kellstrom teaches a computer readable recording medium storing a program for causing a computer to perform operations, comprising: displaying a unit based on assembly data and parts data in response to a display request, the parts data including data about shapes of parts and version information about versions of the parts, the assembly data defining a structure of the unit formed by one or more parts (Kellstrom, col. 6, lines 34-38; col. 10, lines 47-54). Kellstrom fails to particularly point out information about individual parts. However, Harrison teaches information about individual parts which create an assembly, such as the one taught in Kellstrom, (Column 5 lines 58-66). Therefore it would have been obvious to an artisan at the time of the invention to combine the individual part information of Harrison with the system of Kellstrom. Motivation to do so would have been to provide an interrelated data structure to keep detailed features of each of the components. The modified Kellstrom fails to distinctly point out version information for each individual part.

However, Yotsukura teaches storing the assembly data of the unit together with the version information about all parts that form the unit displayed, while assigning version information of the unit to the assembly data to be stored; and storing current and past versions of the parts data of each individual part; and displaying a specifiec version of the unit by using the stored assembly data whose version information matches the specified version and by using the past versions of the parts data defined in the stored assembly data (Column 2 lines 10-40). Therefore it would have been obvious to an artisan at the time of the invention to combine the modified Kellstrom with the version information of Yotsukura. Motivation to do so would have been to provide a design system and method, which enable new parts of a design to be designed or constructed in a timesaving and cost-effective manner.

Independent claims 10-13 are similar in scope to claim 1, and are therefore rejected under similar rationale.

As per claim 5, which is dependent on claim 1, Kellstrom-Harrison-Yotsukura teaches the computer readable recording medium as claimed in claim 1, wherein part of the parts data is sub-assembly data that defines a sub-unit formed by one or more of the other individual parts (Kellstrom, col.9, lines 29-32).

As per claim 6, which is dependent on claim 5, Kellstrom-Harrison-Yotsukura teaches the computer readable recording medium as claimed in claim 5, further comprising: causing the sub-unit defined in the sub-assembly data specified in the

Art Unit: 2174

display request to be displayed (Kellstrom, col. 9, lines 41-59); and assigning version information about the individual parts that form the sub-unit displayed to the sub-assembly data specified in the display request (Kellstrom, col. 9, lines 41-59, Yotsukura Column 2 lines 10-40). In Kellstrom, the ability to update a specific part within a subassembly allows for the sub-unit to be assigned version information.

As per claim 7, which is dependent on claim 5, Kellstrom-Harrison-Yotsukura teaches the computer readable recording medium as claimed in claim 5, further comprising updating, in response to an at-registration information updating request, the version information about the assembly data and the sub-assembly data having a lower structure with respect to the assembly data to latest versions thereof (Yotsukura, Figure 3).

As per claim 8, which is dependent on claim 1, Kellstrom-Harrison-Yotsukura teaches a medium further comprising assigning initialized version information to a copy of the assembly data when the copy of the assembly data is made (Harrison, Column 6 lines 6-46).

As per claim 9, which is dependent on claim 1, Kellstrom-Harrison-Yotsukura teaches a medium further comprising diverting, when the assembly data used to form a first product is diverted to a second product, the version information about the assembly data of the first product to diverted assembly data of the second product (Harrison, Column 6 lines 6-46).

Art Unit: 2174

6. Claim 4 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Kellstrom ("Kellstrom", US 6,088,625) and Harrison et al ("Harrison", US 6,611,725) in view of Yotsukura ("Yorsukura" US 6,647,380) in view of Beppu et al. ("Beppu", US 5,777,877).

As per claim 4, which is dependent on claim 3, Kellstrom-Harrison-Yotsukura fails to distinctly point out the computer readable recording medium as claimed in claim 3, further comprising causing the parts of the unit to be emphatically displayed on the basis of parts data of a version different from a latest version when the display request is directed to states of parts at registration of the parts data. Beppu, however, teaches showing the correspondence between parts before and after a user recomposition of assemblies. This correspondence includes listing a part as "NEW" or "OLD" based on the parts version number (Beppu, col. 7, lines 59-67 and col. 8, lines 1-5). It would have been obvious to one skilled in the art at the time of invention to include the latest version identification of Beppu in the CAD system of Kellstrom-Harrison-Yotsukura because it would increase productivity by ensuring the user knows the version of each part in a given assembly.

## Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1,4-7,9-13 have been considered but are most in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Art Unit: 2174

#### Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Ryan F. Pitaro whose telephone number is 571-272-4071. The examiner can normally be reached on 7:00am - 4:30pm M-Th, and alternating F.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Kristine Kincaid can be reached on 571-272-4063. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Ryan Pitaro Art Unit 2174 Patent Examiner KRISTINE KINCAID
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2100

**RFP**